

*Ten years of 'war against poverty'*

## The MDGs as an Institution of Hope

Alastair Greig

Australian National University

Mark Turner

University of Canberra

*September 2010*



# What's it all about?

- Paper argues that MDGs have
  - Considerable symbolic value
  - Injected hope into the poverty eradication discourse
  - Reinvigorated ethical interest in development issues



# UN development decades

- 1950s, 1960s and early 1970s decades of optimism
  - Difficult but possible
  - Technology, resources and commitment
- 1980s a lost decade of development
  - Poor growth, disorder, disillusionment with development discourse
  - Abdication of responsibility to market



# ‘Increasingly unthinkable’

- In 1990s, Richard Rorty described the problems of development as becoming ‘increasingly unthinkable’
- A rethink needed to move from

Despair → Hope → Action



# Rorty and social hope

- Neo-liberalism and postdevelopmentalism had led to a loss of hope
- Growing inability
  - To imagine alternative futures
  - ‘To construct plausible narratives of progress’
- Urgent need for an alternative vision based on pragmatic acceptance of reality of late-20<sup>th</sup> century development





# Rorty and social hope

- Globalisation had transformed economic realities
  - Economic situation beyond control of laws of the state
  - ‘global overclass’ dictated ‘all major economic decisions’
- But beyond the global regulation of capital Rorty could only point to an as yet unimagined bureaucratic-technological initiative



# Institutions of hope

- Hope is
  - ‘Motivating’ emotion (Grayling 2008)
  - ‘Psychological necessity’ (Grayling 2008)
  - ‘Powerful fuel of political achievement’ (Courville and Piper 2004)
- To galvanise social hope requires institutionalisation
  - ‘Sets of rules, norms and practices that ensure that we have some room not only to dream of the extraordinary but also to do the extraordinary’ (Braithwaite 2004)





# Institutions of hope

- Hope inspires the desire for social transformation
- When 'action is seen as the companion of hope, social movements gather momentum'(Braithwaite 2004)
- 'Collective hope' about social conditions can empower through collective action



# The MDGs

- ***If*** people agree that
  - Cost of ending extreme poverty is well within the global reach
  - Past successes and failures provide lessons
- ***Then*** the challenges become an ethical issue
- ***And*** this signals a return of development discourse

# ‘Not any hope will do’

- Authentic or false institutions of hope?
  - Empowerment without the capability to act will promote frustration and despair
  - ‘Hope as emotional sharing’ must be ‘tempered by ideas, deliberation, experimentation and cold analysis’ (Braithwaite 2004)
  - This requires contestation





# The MDGs and their critics

- Criticism of MDGs include
  - Too ambitious
  - Not ambitious enough
  - Following wrong goals
  - Folly of setting goals
  - Adopting wrong means
  - Applying faulty measurements
- But if following Rorty's pragmatism be aware of
  - 'Pessimism of the intelligence, optimism of the will' (Gramsci 1971)





# Authentic institutions of hope?

- Need to reappraise functions of the MDGs
  - Institutions that ‘secure hope’
  - ‘substantial, rational and orienting hope’
  - Stretch goals
  - Targets as wellsprings of hope
  - Contribute to reinventing a plausible notion of progress
- What we want is to ‘make hope possible, not despair convincing’ (Williams) because hope abandoned is ‘unthinkable’